

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART I.

Name, Title, Address and Phone Number of Agency Senior Official Responsible for Value Program (VE):

Francis G. McLean, Reclamation Value Program Manager
PO Box 25007, ATTN Code: D-8170, Denver CO 80225, (303) 236-9120 x236

Agency Value Program (VE) Expenditures (\$'s Invested in VE activities this fiscal year): \$ 1,030,432

Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors: \$ 49,265 (Through VECP program)

Dollar Thresholds for each Value Program (VE) category (if different from \$1 million):

Construction related features are studied (unless waived for cause) if their dollar threshold exceeds \$500,000
Non-construction related features are considered for study if the feature change exceeds the threshold \$1,000,000.

TOTAL RECLAMATION NET LIFE-CYCLE COST SAVINGS ATTRIBUTABLE TO VALUE PROGRAM (VE):

A. Summary of cost savings and avoidances reported by category (See B, below):

<u>Cost Savings</u>		<u>Cost Avoidance</u>		<u>Total Savings+Avoidance</u>		<u>Grand Total In-house + Contractor Savings+Avoidance</u>
<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	
12,474,691	101,011	7,151,835	0	19,626,526	101,011	19,727,537

B. Total Reclamation Value Program (VE) Net Life-Cycle Cost Savings and Cost Avoidances by Category:

Category

	<u>Cost Savings</u>		<u>Cost Avoidance</u>		<u>Total Savings+Avoidance</u>		<u>Grand Total In-house + Contractor Savings+Avoidance</u>
	<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	
1. Acquisition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Administrative	0	0	297,935	0	297,935	0	297,935
3. Other (specific features)							
a. Construction							
Activities	9,418,691	101,011	5,378,900	0	14,797,591	101,011	14,898,602
b. Operations and							
Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Grants and							
Loans	3,056,000	0	1,475,000	0	4,531,000	0	4,531,000

C. Steps Reclamation has taken to validate the reported cost savings, whether through IG audit or other measures:

Reclamation uses cost estimating personnel on all studies, VECP analyses, and other VE related activities to determine the most the most appropriate cost savings and/or avoidance estimates. Design teams then review the data and make specific estimates for the final estimate of the accepted recommended savings and/or avoidance. The resulting figures are subject to spot review and verification by the Program Coordinator, Program Manager, and IG audit.

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

Item #	PROJECT TITLE	VE Program Expenditures		Cost Savings		Cost Avoidance		Validation Method*
		In-House	Contractor	In-House	Contractor	In-House	Contractor	
1	BOR/GP/Pathfinder Dam Modification	\$29,000		\$1,800,000		\$2,200,000		Standard
2	BOR/GP/Twin Buttes Dam Modification	\$27,000				\$2,878,900		Standard
3	BOR/LC/California Undersea Aqueduct Investigation Report	\$19,600				\$10,000		Standard
4	BOR/MP/Orestimba Siphon Repair	\$4,000				\$300,000	(see note 1)	Standard
5	BOR/MP/Marble Bluff Dam Fish Passage	\$25,000		\$100,000			(see note 1)	Standard
6	BOR/MP/Nibus Dam, 60 Inch Water Supply Pipeline	\$10,000		\$0				Standard
7	BOR/UC/Rockport Lake State Park Improvements	\$1,000		\$43,000				Standard
8	BOR/UC/Velarde Acequia Improvements	\$17,000		\$117,000				Standard
9	BOR/UC/Block 8 pumping plant B2.1R and B3.1G Laterals	\$25,000		\$1,593,000				Standard
10	BOR/UC/Scofield Dam Modification	\$20,000		\$300,000			(see note 1)	Standard
11	BOR/PN/PC User Graphical Interface	\$10,000				\$177,935		Standard
12	BOR/PN/Ochoco Dam Spillway (SOD)	\$22,000		\$3,200,000				Standard
13	BOR/PN/Yakima Tieton Fishscreen Fish Passage Facilities	\$11,000		\$136,000				Standard
14	BOR/PN/Outlet Works Modification Kachess Dam	\$21,000		\$1,579,691				Standard
15	BOR/PN/Stanfield Relift Pumping Plant	\$18,000		\$550,000				Standard
16	BOR/PN/Naches-Sehah Canal Fishscreen Fish Passage Facility	\$2,000		\$0				Standard
17	BOR/RSC/DOI VE Training Program	\$1,000				\$110,000		Standard
18	BOR/RSC/Seismic Safety Alternatives-EO	\$32,000		\$0				Negotiated
19	BOR/LC/Warehouse no.1 and 2 Roof Replacement	\$0	\$2,503		\$3,850			Negotiated
20	BOR/MP/Keswick Spillway Fish Channel	\$4,000	\$39,038		\$86,750			Negotiated
21	BOR/UC/Transformer for Crystal Powerplant	\$868	\$3,380		\$2,512			Negotiated
22	BOR/UC/Gallegos PP Prime Contract	\$0	\$446		\$811			Negotiated
23	BOR/UC/Espanola Division Riprap Supply	\$0	\$3,898		\$7,087			Negotiated
24	BOR/UC/East End Government Highline Canal Reach-1A	\$1,700				\$0		Standard
25	BOR/GP/Core Pipeline Transmission System	\$44,000		\$3,056,000				Standard
26	BOR/GP/Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition System (SCADA)	\$25,000				\$275,000		Standard
27	BOR/GP/Core Pipeline Transmission System, Planning Study	\$30,000				\$1,200,000		Standard
28	BOR/GP/Willow Creek Dam Modifications	\$5,000		\$0				Standard

(*Validation listed as "standard" are verified as described in Part I.B.)

-----Note 1. Estimate pending receipt of accountability report.)

Description of Quality or other Non-Quantifiable Improvements of Note:

Item #	PROJECT TITLE	DESCRIPTION NOTE
4	BOR/MP/Orestimba Siphon Repair	Improvement in estimated construction schedule, ease of construction, projected inspection operations, and less complex design projected to have resulted from VE activity.
8	BOR/UC/Velarde Acequia Improvements	Improvement in estimated construction schedule, ease of construction, projected inspection operations, and less complex design projected to have resulted from VE activity.
11	BOR/PN/PC User Graphical Interface	Several improvement in production, morale, and other non-quantifiable features are expected
17	BOR/RSC/DOI VE Training Program	Several improvement in production, morale, and other non-quantifiable features are expected
18	BOR/RSC/Seismic Safety Alternatives-EO	Improvement in estimated time to complete and efficiency are expected
25	BOR/GP/Core Pipeline Transmission System	Cost and projected service improvements allowed more users to be served than originally expected it was possible to serve.
23-26	BOR/GP/Mni Wiconi Project (Grant)	Many improvements and added benefits to grantee. VE use accepted by grantee to allow funds to be best used.
	General notation	Projects showing no savings or avoidance had benefits that were difficult to quantify and had added nonmonetary benefits identified.

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (DETAILED LISTING OF ALL VALUE PROGRAM PROJECTS WITH CONFIRMED SAVINGS IN REPORTING FY)

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

1 BOR/GP/Pathfinder Dam Modification

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			1,800,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			2,200,000				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			29,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures.							
Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B. Value Program Manager Review and spot audit verification performed.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

2 BOR/GP/Twin Buttes Dam Modification

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			2,878,900				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			27,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures.							
Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B. Value Program Manager Review and spot audit verification performed.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

3 BOR/LC/California Undersea Aqueduct Investigation Report

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			10,000				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			19,600				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures.							
Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B. Value Program Manager Review and spot audit verification performed.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

4 BOR/MP/Orestimba Siphon Repair

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			300,000				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			4,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

5 BOR/MP/Marble Bluff Dam Fish Passage

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			100,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			25,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

6 BOR/MP/Nibus Dam, 60 Inch Water Supply Pipeline

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			10,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B. Value Program Manager Review and spot audit verification performed.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

7 BOR/UC/Rockport Lake State Park Improvements

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			43,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			1,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

8 BOR/UC/Velarde Acequia Improvements

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			117,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			17,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

9 BOR/UC/Block 8 pumping plant B2.1R and B3.1G Laterals

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			1,593,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			25,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

10 BOR/UC/Scofield Dam Modification

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			300,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			20,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

11 BOR/PN/PC User Graphical Interface

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			177,935				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			10,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

12 BOR/PN/Ochoco Dam Spillway (SOD)

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			3,200,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			22,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

13 BOR/PN/Yakima Tieton Fishscreen Fish Passage Facilities

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			136,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			11,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures.							
Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

14 BOR/PN/Outlet Works Modification Kachess Dam

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			1,579,691				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			21,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures.							
Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

15 BOR/PN/Stanfield Relift Pumping Plant

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			550,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			18,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures.							
Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

16 BOR/PN/Naches-Sehah Canal Fishscreen Fish Passage Facility

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			2,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

17 BOR/RSC/DOI VE Training Program

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			110,000				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			1,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B. Value Program Manager Review and spot audit verification performed.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

18 BOR/RSC/Seismic Safety Alternatives-EO

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project <i>(Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)</i>			32,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)**PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:**

19 BOR/LC/Warehouse no.1 and 2 Roof Replacement

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			3,850				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			2,503				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			2,503				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. VECP - Savings directly tied to contractual activity.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

20 BOR/MP/Keswick Spillway Fish Channel

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			86,750				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			39,038				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			43,038				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. VECP - Savings directly tied to contractual activity.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

21 BOR/UC/Transformer for Crystal Powerplant

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			2,512				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			3,380				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			4,248				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. VECP - Savings directly tied to contractual activity.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)**PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:**

22 BOR/UC/Gallegos PP Prime Contract

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			811				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			446				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			446				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. VECP - Savings directly tied to contractual activity.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

23 BOR/UC/Espanola Division Riprap Supply

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			7,087				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			3,898				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			3,898				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. VECP - Savings directly tied to contractual activity.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

24 BOR/UC/East End Government Highline Canal Reach-1A

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			1,700				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B. Value Program Manager Review and spot audit verification performed.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)**PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:**

25 BOR/GP/Core Pipeline Transmission System

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			3,056,000				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			44,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

26 BOR/GP/Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition System (SCADA)

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			275,000				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			25,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:

27 BOR/GP/Core Pipeline Transmission System, Planning Study

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			1,200,000				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			30,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures. Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B.							

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART III. (continued)**PROGRAM/PROJECT NAME:**

28 BOR/GP/Willow Creek Dam Modifications

	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1. Cost Savings			0				
2. Cost Avoidance			0				
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors			0				
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to this Program/Project (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)			5,000				
5. For programs/projects not discussed in Part II of the report, discussion of the steps taken to validate reported cost savings. whether through IG audits or other measures.							
Internal standard method used as discussed in Part I.B. Value Program Manager Review and spot audit verification performed.							

SUMMARY OF ALL APPORTIONED SAVINGS FOR RECLAMATION

	From 94 Report	From 95 Report as amended	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
	1994	1995					
1. Cost Savings	18,211,604	6,881,100	12,575,702	0	0	0	0
2. Cost Avoidance	1,628,000	4,254,500	7,151,835	0	0	0	0
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors	206,583	297,900	49,265	0	0	0	0
4. Value Program Expenses Attributable to these PPA's (Including a pro rata share of Salary/Expenses)	Proj Related: 377,000	554,739	454,432	0	0	0	0
	Program/FY: <u>286,000</u>	<u>429,461</u>	<u>576,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
	Expenses Sum: 663,000	984,200	1,030,432	0	0	0	0

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

PART IV. (INTERIOR SPECIFIC REPORTING PORTION FOR REPORTING FY)

A. For the reporting year, in each bureau or office, number of projects subject to study under 369-DM-1.

	<u>Subject and Available</u>	<u>Studied In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	<u>Formally Waivered</u>	<u>Not Studied-Unaddressed</u>
1. In the threshold range of under \$1,000,000 to \$500,000.	16	4	0	10	2
2. In the threshold range of \$1,000,000 or more.	27	18	0	6	3
3. Nonconstruction related (PPA).	Not Req'd	4	0	Not Req'd	Not Req'd

B. For the reporting year, in each bureau or office, dollar amounts for subject to study under 369-DM-1.

	<u>Subject and Available</u>	<u>Studied</u>	<u>Formally Waivered</u>	<u>Not Studied-Unaddressed</u>	<u>Percent Studied</u>	<u>Percent Waivered</u>	<u>Percent Unaddressed</u>
1. In the threshold range of under \$1,000,000 to \$500,000.	6,806,337	986,000	3,204,131	2,616,206	14.49%	47.08%	38.44%
2. In the threshold range of \$1,000,000 or more.	111,692,901	99,127,210	7,571,869	4,993,822	88.75%	6.78%	4.47%
3. Nonconstruction related (PPA).	Not Req'd	29,942,790	Not Req'd		Not Req'd	Not Req'd	Not Req'd

C. For the reporting year, in each bureau or office, maximum possible estimated cost savings and avoidances by Category:

<u>Category</u>	<u>Cost Savings</u>		<u>Cost Avoidance</u>		<u>Total Savings+Avoidance</u>		<u>Grand Total In-house + Contractor Savings+Avoidance</u>
	<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	<u>In-House</u>	<u>Contractor</u>	
1. Acquisition	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2. Administrative	0	0	13,582,035	0	13,582,035	0	13,582,035
3. Other (specific features)							
a. Construction Activities	24,880,965	0	1,110,000	0	25,990,965	0	25,990,965
b. Operations and Maintenance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c. Grants and Loans	3,056,000	0	0	0	3,056,000	0	3,056,000

C. In each bureau or office, number of personnel:

<u>SAVE CVS's</u>	<u>Trained this FY</u>		<u>Trained in Prior 5 Years (excl this FY)</u>		<u>Total Trained in Last 6 Years</u>		<u>Total VE Trained in 6 Yr Period</u>	<u>Total Yr-end Personnel in Bureau/Office</u>	<u>Estimated Percent Trained</u>
	<u>Certified</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Certified</u>	<u>Other</u>	<u>Certified</u>	<u>Other</u>			
1	23	48	71	135	94	183	277	5,954	4.65%

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
FISCAL YEAR 1996
ANNUAL VALUE (ENGINEERING) PROGRAM REPORT**

D. Total Dollar Value at award, or initiation of actual action, of Reclamation projects reported in Part I, Section B, claimed in the VE Net Life-Cycle Cost Savings and Cost Avoidances by Category:

Category	VE Studied Projects/Processes Actual Award/Implemented Cost		VE Expenditures (Including VE Administration during FY)		Return on Investment (ROI) Cost Savings+ Savings		Total Estimated Percent Reduction in Final Award/Impl. Cost Achieved by VE Effort
	In-House*	Contractor	In-House*	Contractor	In-House*	Contractor	
1. Acquisition	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
2. Administrative	10,055,000	0	87,714	0	3.40	NA	2.88%
3. Other (specific features)							
a. Construction Activities	76,176,967	101,011	488,892	49,617	30.27	2.04	16.34%
b. Operations and Maintenance	0	0	0	0	NA	NA	NA
c. Grants and Loans	78,606,901	0	412,710	0	10.98	NA	5.45%
TOTALS	<u>164,838,868</u>	<u>101,011</u>	<u>989,315</u>	<u>49,617</u>	<u>19.84</u>	<u>2.04</u>	<u>10.68%</u>

*Includes projects which were studied and awarded but did not result in accepted proposals or did not produce savings.