

**BUREAU OF RECLAMATION, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR  
FISCAL YEAR 2000  
ANNUAL VALUE ENGINEERING PROGRAM REPORT**

**PART I.**

Senior Official Responsible for Value Program (VE): Name: Mr. Michael J. Roluti, Title: Director, Technical Service Center,  
Address: P.O. Box 25007, Attention Code: D-8000, Denver, CO 80225-0007

Phone: 303-445-2720, FAX: 303-445-6379  
E-mail: mroluti@do.usbr.gov

For Technical Questions Please Contact: Dr. Francis G. McLean, Reclamation Value Program Manager, Technical Service Center  
Address: P.O. Box 25007, Attention Code: D-8170, Denver CO 80225-0007

Phone: 303-445-3091, FAX: 303-445-6475  
E-Mail: fmclean@do.usbr.gov

Reclamation Value Program (VE) Expenditures (\$'s Invested in VE this fiscal year): \$504,273

Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors (Through the VECP program): \$8,627

Dollar Thresholds for each Value Program (VE) category (if different from \$1 million):

Construction projects are studied (unless waived for cause) if their cost exceeds \$500,000

Non-construction (procurement) actions are considered for study if their cost exceeds \$1,000,000.

TOTAL RECLAMATION INITIAL & LIFE-CYCLE COST SAVINGS (INCLUDING VECP SAVINGS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO VALUE PROGRAM (VE):							
A. Summary of cost savings and avoidances reported by category (See B, below):							
Category	Cost Savings		Cost Avoidance		Total Savings + Avoidance		Grand Total Savings + Avoidance In-House + Contract
	In-House	Contract	In-House	Contract	In-House	Contract	
Summary Totals	\$474,611				\$474,611	0	\$474,611
B. Total Reclamation Value Program (VE) Initial & Life-Cycle Cost Savings and Cost Avoidances(including VECP) by Category:							
1. Acquisition					0	0	0
2. Administration					0	0	0
3. Other (Specific Features)							
a. Construction Activities	\$474,611				\$474,611	0	\$474,611
b. Operations & Maintenance					0	0	0
c. Grants & Loans					0	0	0

C. Unless otherwise noted, the Bureau of Reclamation routinely validates reported cost savings, avoidance and other project data as follows:

Reclamation uses cost estimating personnel on all studies, VECP analyses, and other VE related activities to determine the most appropriate cost savings and/or avoidance estimates. Design teams then review the data and make specific estimates for the final determination of the accepted recommended savings and/or avoidance. Design teams report their determination of savings in Accountability Reports. The savings are subject to spot review and verification by the Program Coordinator, Program Manager, and IG audit.

PART II. VALUE PROGRAM (VE) PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Region/Project Title/Description/(VE or VECP)(Month & Year of Study, VECP, or Waiver) *** to indicate "see footnote".	In House or Contract	Value Study or VECP Processing Cost	Cost Savings	Cost Avoidance	Type of Project	VECP Savings To Contractor	Award Amount	Award Date
1. MP-Folsom Temperature Control Device (VE)(5/97)	In House-TSC	\$15,000	\$60,500		Construction		\$1,449,735	11/16/99
2. MP-Bradbury Dam Terrace Filters (VE)(3/97)	In House-TSC	\$25,000	\$0		Construction		\$3,087,915	11/23/99
3. MP-Shasta Dam Transformer Rehabilitation (11/99)	Waiver-MP				Construction		\$2,503,037	9/29/00
4. MP-Newman Canal Reconstruction * (see note below)	In House-TSC				Construction		\$1,483,605	7/12/00
5. MP-Shasta Dam Drum Gate Repair (2/00)	Waiver-MP				Construction		\$2,892,409	9/30/00
6. MP-Carbon Dioxide Fire Suppression System (8/00)	Waiver-MP				Construction		\$879,490	9/6/00
7. MP-Nimbus Hatchery, Phase 2 60" pipe (VECP No.1)	In House-MP	\$300	\$11,685		Construction	\$6,427	\$2,103,233	9/30/99
8. MP-New Melones P/P Turbine Runner (VECP No.1)	In House-MP	\$0	\$0		Construction	\$0	\$140,000	2/22/00
9. UC-Willard Bay State Park Improvements (VE)(3/00)	In House-UC	\$1,600	\$12,426		Construction		\$1,564,882	9/5/00
10.UC-Weber Basin Welding Shop (VECP No.1)	In House-UC	\$0	\$1,800		Construction	\$2,200	\$607,700	4/13/00
11.UC-Government Highline Canal Checks (7/00)	Waiver-UC				Construction		\$2,777,000	7/27/00
12.UC-NIIP Canal Rehab. Stage 13 (7/96)	Waiver-UC				Construction		\$1,595,093	11/2/99
13.UC-NIIP 34.5 kV Powerline (8/99)	Waiver-UC				Construction		\$1,721,648	11/10/99
14.UC-Syar Tunnel Gate, Central Utah Proj (VE)(11/94) *	In House-TSC				Construction		\$2,489,800	3/01/00
15.PN-Anderson Ranch Transformer/Oil Contain (6/99) *	Waiver-PN				Maintenance		\$2,298,000	3/24/00
16.PN-Grand Coulee 500 KVA Transformer (9/98) *	Waiver-PN				Maintenance		\$6,987,000	3/31/00
17.PN-Grand Coulee KP10A Transformer (9/98) *	Waiver-PN				Maintenance		\$1,690,000	6/20/00
18.PN-Salmon Lake Dam SOD Mod (VE)(4/97)	In House-TSC	\$11,000	\$7,000		Construction		\$2,988,000	7/3/00
19.PN-Crooked River Diversion Fish Screens (VE)(3/00) *	In House-PN	\$10,000	\$170,800		Construction		\$632,000	9/13/00
20.PN-Kachess Dam Spillway Repairs (VE)(3/00)	In House-PN	\$10,000	\$210,400		Construction		\$633,000	8/18/00
21.PN-Lilly Pump Screen (12/99)	Waiver-PN				Construction		\$559,000	9/14/00
22.PN-KP10A Transformer Line Work (8/99)	Waiver-PN				Construction		\$667,000	9/13/00
23.PN-Gaging Stations, Yakima Indian Reservation (1/00)	Waiver-PN				Construction		\$507,000	11/2/99
24.PN-Black Canyon Drum Gate Coating (1/00)	Waiver-PN				Construction		\$1,143,000	9/29/00
25.GP-Canyon Ferry Spillway Radial Gate Rehab (3/99)	Waiver-GP				Construction		\$1,516,675	3/28/00

26.GP-Seminole Dam Spillway Repair, Ph II (12/98)	Waiver-GP				Construction		\$999,450	7/13/00
27.GP-Mirdan Canal Subsidence Repair, Ph II (VE)(2/00) *	In House-TSC	\$20,000	\$0		Construction		\$1,173,166	9/18/00
28.GP-Mni Wiconi (MW), Vivian to Presho Core (VE)(4/97)	In House-GP				Construction		\$952,223	3/00
30.GP-MW Administration Building (VE)(4/97) *	In House-GP				Construction		\$905,915	5/00
31.GP-MW Phase IV, St. Francis (VE)(3/97) *	In House-GP				Construction		\$1,093,309	5/00
32.GP-MW High Service Pumps WTP (VE)(6/96) *	In House-GP				Construction		\$1,028,601	6/00
33.GP-MW Core Phase V (VP)(3/95) *	In House-TSC				Construction		\$6,540,587	8/00
34.GP-MW Core Phase III, Draper Split (VP)(3/95) *	In House-TSC				Construction		\$3,618,588	11/00
39.LC-Hoover Dam Butterfly Valves Mods (168"&120")	Unaddressed				Construction		\$606,500	8/31/00
40.LC-Hoover Dam Waste Water Treatment Plant Exp.	Unaddressed				Construction		\$718,500	11/18/99
41.LC-Hoover Dam Penstock Tunnel Repairs	Unaddressed				Construction		\$1,732,125	5/26/00
42.BOR/DOI- Building Seismic Safety (VA)(11/99) *	In House-TSC	\$25,000	\$0		Administration			None
43.BOR-Dam Safety Records Information Mgt (VA)(12/99) *	In House-TSC	\$40,000	\$0		Administration			None
Totals		\$157,900	\$474,611			\$8,627	\$64,285,18	
BIA Grant Projects not studied and not included in BOR Totals.								
29.GP-MW Kennebec South *	Unaddressed				Construction		\$1,616,102	4/00
35.GP-Mid-Dakota (MD) Secondary Trans, Sch 1 *	Unaddressed				Construction		\$4,717,280	3/00
36.GP-MD Secondary Transmission, Sch 2 *	Unaddressed				Construction		\$2,917,517	3/00
37.GP-MD Mac's Corner Water Storage Tank *	Unaddressed				Construction		\$563,200	12/99
38.GP-MD Rezac Lk & Collins Slough Tanks Sch 2&3 *	Unaddressed				Construction		\$884,590	12/99
Total							\$10,698,68	

(Unless otherwise noted, the steps taken to validate the reported cost savings are described in Part I. C preceding.)

**Description of Quality or other Non-Quantifiable Improvements of Note:**

Item #	PROJECT TITLE	DESCRIPTION NOTE
	General notation	Studied Projects that had benefits that were difficult to quantify or provided non-monetary benefits are described below.
4.	Newman Canal Reconstruction	Reconstruction of a failed portion of the canal. The original construction project was studied (VE)(1/14/97) and reported in the FY1998 VPSA. The reconstruction project/award is considered by the Region to be addressed by the 1997 VE study.
14.	Syar Tunnel Gate	The work for this project was studied as part of a Syar to Strawberry Tunnel VE study in 11/94. The processing costs and savings were reported (but not itemized in Part II) in FY1995.

15. Anderson Ranch Transformer This acquisition replaced worn out equipment on a one for one basis.
16. Grand Coulee 500 kvaTransformer This acquisition replaced worn out equipment on a one for one basis.
17. Grand Coulee KP10A Transformer This acquisition replaced worn out equipment on a one for one basis.
19. Crooked River Fish Screens Added value by eliminating right-of-way acquisition for the original concept longer fish bypass pipeline.
27. Mirdan Canal Subsidence The contractor on the Phase I work defaulted but was paid \$603,000 for the work done. Phase II was awarded to a different contractor for \$570,166 for a total award amount of \$1,173,166 for this project. One VE proposal, accepted for quality purposes did not result in any savings. Another proposal, made for safety purposes, will be considered at a later date.
- 28.-38. Mni Wiconi (MW) Projects Numerous VE studies have been conducted for the Mni Wiconi projects since 1994. The savings, cost avoidances, and processing cost have been declared in prior years and therefore are not included in this report. GP Region still urges the grant recipients to perform VE studies, but has no authority to force them to. One MW project and four Mid-Dakota projects awarded in FY 2000 that did not have studies conducted. The lessons learned on the previous VE studies were used to design the projects that were not studied.
28. Vivian to Presho Core The work for this project was studied as part of a Lower Brule VE study in 4/97. The processing cost and savings were reported in FY1999.
30. Administration Building The work for this project was studied as part of a Lower Brule VE study in 4/97. The processing cost and savings were reported in FY1999.
31. Phase IV, St. Francis The work for this project was studied as part of a Rosebud Rural Water System VE study in 3/97. The processing cost and savings were reported in FY1999.
32. High Service Pumps WTP The work for this project was studied as part of a Mni Wiconi Water Treatment Plant VE study in 6/96. The processing cost and savings were reported in FY1997.
33. Core Phase V The work for this project was studied as part of a Mni Wiconi Core Pipeline VE study in 3/95. The processing cost and savings were reported in FY1998.
34. Core Phase III Draper Split The work for this project was studied as part of a Mni Wiconi Core Pipeline VE study in 3/95. The processing cost and savings were reported in FY1998.
42. Building Seismic Safety Implemented proposals reduce report redundancy, increase accuracy of seismic evaluation cost estimates, increase the number of qualified personnel, improve the commitment of engineering resources, and improve the Team Leader's ability to accomplish completion goals.
43. Dam Safety Records Mgmt The 10 adopted proposals eliminate some searching for records, identify essential records, inventory stored records, provide control, security and easy access to records, provide record backup, enter the records into databases, and improve records management.

PART III. (SUMMARY OF ALL APPORTIONED SAVINGS FOR RECLAMATION)

*This part provides summary data for all projects in the reporting and 6 preceding fiscal years based on detailed project data in Part II. Note that all savings are claimed in the year of contract award.*

SUMMARY OF ALL APPORTIONED SAVINGS FOR RECLAMATION (Note: All savings are claimed in the year of award.)						
Category	FY1996 Report	FY1997 Report	FY1998 Report	FY1999 Report	Current FY2000	1996-2000 Cumulative
1. Cost Savings (including VECP savings)	\$13,225,302	\$7,621,968	\$4,625,600	\$9,657,913	\$474,611	\$35,605,394
2. Cost Avoidance	\$6,866,035	\$500,000	\$130,000	\$47,927,000	\$0	\$55,423,035
3. Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors	\$49,265	\$0	\$453,800	\$42,470	\$8,627	\$554,162
4.a Expenses Attributed to Value Studies of These Projects	\$506,032	\$667,300	\$91,000	\$441,120	\$157,900	\$1,863,352
4.b Costs Attributed to the Operation of Value Program ( Program + VECP processing costs)	\$576,000	\$512,230	\$473,390	\$407,701	\$346,373	\$2,315,694

4.c Total Expenses (4a - Value Studies + 4b - Program & VECP processing costs)	\$1,082,032	\$1,179,530	\$564,390	\$848,821	\$504,273	\$4,179,046
5. Return on Investment (ROI) = (Line 1 + Line 2 divided by Line 4c)	18.6	6.9	8.4	67.8	0.9	21.8

PART IV. (INTERIOR SPECIFIC REPORTING PORTION FOR THE REPORTING YEAR)

A. ADMINISTRATION (Training) - Indicate the number of Reclamation personnel:		
Total Permanent FTE in Reclamation @ Year-End	Trained This Fiscal Year (2000)	
	SAVE Certified Module I or II	All Other Value Training
5,296	2	8

B. ADMINISTRATION (Staffing) - Identify the number of Reclamation staff personnel assigned to support the Value Engineering Program			
Value Engineering Staff	Number of Professional Staff - Staff Cost	Number of Technical Staff - Staff Cost	Number of Clerical Staff - Staff Cost
Full Time	1 - \$ 105,621	0 - \$ 0	0 - \$ 0
Part Time	13 - \$169,417 (1.36 FTE)	1 - \$47,156 (0.41 FTE)	1 - \$400 (0.00 FTE)

Note: Administration Staffing Costs of \$322,594 do not include non-labor costs of \$5,309 WCENG and \$18,170GEXVE. The non-labor costs are included in Part III, Lines 4b and 4c.

Project Category	Subject and Available		Studied	Formally Waived	Not Studied & Unaddressed	Percent Studied	Percent Waived	Percent Unaddressed
	In House	Contract						
C. NUMBER OF PROJECTS SUBJECT TO STUDY (AWARDED IN THE REPORTING FISCAL YEAR) (For the reporting year, identify the number of Reclamation projects subject to study under 369-DM-1)								
1. In the range of \$500,000 to \$1,000,000	14	0	4	5	5	29%	36%	36%
2. Over the threshold of \$1,000,000 (PL 104-106).	22	0	11	7	4	50%	32%	18%
3. Non-construction procurement over \$1,000,000.	3	0	0	3	0	0%	100%	0%
D. VALUE OF PROJECTS SUBJECT TO STUDY, IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS (AWARDED IN THE REPORTING FISCAL YEAR) (For the reporting year, identify the dollar amount of Reclamation projects subject to study under 369-DM-1)								
1. In the range of \$500,000 to \$1,000,000.	10.12	0	3.12	3.61	3.38	31%	36%	33%
2. Over the threshold of \$1,000,000 (PL 104-106).	51.65	0	26.52	14.15	10.98	51%	27%	21%
3. Non-construction Procurement over \$1,000,000.	10.97	0	0	10.97	0	0%	100%	0%

E. VALUE ENGINEERING STUDY RECOMMENDATIONS (Identify the number and acceptance of Reclamation Study Proposals for the projects awarded in the reporting fiscal year.)									
Studies Completed (Total Proposals)		Proposals Fully Accepted		Proposals Partially Accepted		Proposals Withdrawn/Eliminated		Proposals Rejected	
In House	By Contract	In House	By Contract	In House	By Contract	In House	By Contract	In House	By Contract
14 (85) <sup>1</sup>	0 (0)	34	0	13	0	5	0	32	0

<sup>1</sup>Includes 1 proposal that is on hold and will be considered at a later date.

F. VALUE ENGINEERING CHANGE PROPOSALS (Indicate the number of Reclamation Value Engineering Change Proposals (VECP) accepted for projects active in the reporting fiscal year.)					
Contract Size	Number of Active Contracts	Number of VECP's Submitted	Number of VECP's Accepted	Number of VECP's Rejected	Number of VECP's Withdrawn
\$500,000 to \$1,000,000	26	2	1	1	0
Over \$1,000,000	16	1	0	1	0

G. Of the Value Studies performed for projects awarded this year, how many studies were performed on Operations and Maintenance projects?	0
Of the Value Studies performed for activities implemented this year, how many studies were performed on Administrative activities?	2
Of the Value Studies performed for projects awarded this year, how many were performed during the project's planning stage?	7
Of the Value Studies performed for projects awarded this year, how many studies were performed on Grant projects?	0

H. RECOGNITION (Indicate the number, type and amount of awards given by Reclamation for outstanding work in the field of Value Engineering)				
Number of Awards Issued	Number of Awards by Type		Amount of Awards by Type	
	Special Act	Monetary	Per Team	Individual
0	0	0 (\$ 0)	\$ 0	\$ 0

## ***Directions for Completing the Annual Value Engineering Program Report***

Part I, Header Lines:

**Fiscal Year:** Enter the Fiscal Year covered by this report.

**Senior Official:** Enter the name, title, address, fax number, phone number, and E-mail address of the official responsible for your program.

**Technical Contact:** Enter the name, title, address, fax number, phone number and E-mail address, of the Value Program Coordinator.

**Program VE Expenditures:** Identify the total (studies, program & VECP processing costs) amount spent on VE for the fiscal year. Verify that this number agrees with the "Total Expenses" reported in Part III, Item 4.c.

**Savings Provided to Contractors:** Identify the total amount paid to contractors under the VECP program for the fiscal year. Verify that this number agrees with the "Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors" reported in Part III, Item 3.

**Dollar Thresholds for each Value Program (VE) category:** This information is already entered on the form.

Part I, Section A:

**Summary of Cost Savings and Avoidances:** Enter the summary totals from Part I, Section B below. (Note: This figure should include the VECP savings.)

Part I, Section B:

**Cost Savings and Avoidances by Category:** Based on the information provided in Part II, enter the initial and life-cycle savings and avoidances for those projects awarded in the reporting fiscal year in the appropriate categories. Enter the Totals and Grand Totals as required. (This data includes VECP savings)

Part I, Section C:

**Validation of Savings, Avoidance and Project Data:** This information is already entered on the form.

### **PART II is the heart of the annual report and should be the first thing filled in!**

Part II:

**Value Program Project Description:** Report each project awarded in the reporting fiscal year where the award amount exceeded \$500,000. Complete the table to identify the studies, waivers and VECP's for each project. Note that no cost should be entered for processing waivers. Note that we claim all savings in the year of project award and do not prorate the project savings (construction or life-cycle) over the life of the project, even though this is permitted under the current DO guidance. Where there were quality or other non-quantifiable improvements these should be identified in the paragraph following the table by Project title. Please make sure that the project titles match if there are both monetary savings or avoidances and quality/non-quantifiable improvements. The following information and sample table is provided below for guidance:

**"Region/Project Title/Description (VE) for Value Engineering Study, (VA) for Value Analysis Study, (VP) for Value Planning Study, and the Month and Year of study."** is used to clearly identify the project studied or for which a VECP(s) was approved. Include a sequential item number, region, project title, type of study, and date (month/year) of study or VECP..

**"In House or Contract"** identifies whether the value study was performed in house or under a contract and this will determine under which category the savings/avoidance is reported in Parts I. If the study is an in-house study please identify if it was done by the Region (MP, PN, UC, LC, GP,) or by the Technical Service Center (TSC).

**"Value Study or VECP Processing Cost"** enter the cost of the study or the Government in-house cost of processing the VECP. Study costs are totaled and reported in Part III, Line 4a. VECP Processing costs are totaled and added to the Value Program Costs in Part III, Line 4b.

**"Cost Savings"** report the cost savings accepted by the accountability reports. Total the savings and report them, by category, in Part I.B. These savings will be totaled and combined in the summary figures in Part I.A and in Part III, Line 1.

**"Cost Savings For VECP's"** report the Actual Government Savings (Contract Price Reduction minus the Unreimbursed Government Evaluation Costs. See page 5 of the Value Engineering Change Proposal Form available on the INTERNET from the templates section of the appendices on the Reclamation VE Homepage. These savings are included in the cost savings totals in Part I. A and in Part III, Line 1.

**"Cost Avoidance"** report the cost avoidance accepted by the accountability report. **(Note that cost reductions accepted on Value Planning Studies are "Avoidances", while cost reductions from Value Engineering and Value Analysis Studies are "Savings.")** Total the avoidances and report them in Part I.B by category. These will be totaled and combined in the summary figures in Part I.A and in Part III, Line 2.

**"Type of Project"** enter the type of project based on the categories listed in Part I.B as "Acquisition", "Administration", "Construction", "Operations & Maintenance", or "Grants & Loans".

**"VECP Savings To Contractor"** report the cost savings allocated to the Contractor from accepted Value Engineering Change Proposal(s). VECP savings to Contractors should equal 55% of the Gross Savings minus both contractor development and implementation costs, and the Government



implementation cost. See page 3 of the Value Engineering Change Proposal Form available on the INTERNET from the templates section of the appendices in the Reclamation VE Homepage. Total these savings and report them in Part I, under “Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors”, and in Part III, Line 3.

“**Award Amount and Award Date**” report the amount & date of the contract award. Award amounts are used to report the value of project awards in Part IV.C&D.

<b>SAMPLE TABLE</b>		In House or Contract	Value Study or VECP Processing Cost	Cost Savings	Cost Avoidance	Type of Project	VECP Savings To Contractor	Award Amount	Award Date
Region/Project Title/Description; Type of Study and Month and Year of Study									
1. MP-Stony Creek Siphon (VE)(11/97)		In-House-TSC	\$20,000	\$2,350,096	\$0	Construction		\$3,616	05/07/98
2. MP-No Hands Bridge (VECP-No. 1)		In-House-MP	\$700	\$1,196	\$0	Construction	\$2,310		
3. MP-Folsom Spillway Gate Automation (8/95)		Waiver-MP	\$0	\$0	\$0	Construction	\$0	\$1,249,000	4/7/98
4. GP/Estes/Marys Lake Transformer Replacements (VP) 12/96		In-House-TSC	\$15,000	\$0	\$500	Construction	\$0	\$368,240	10/03/97
5. UC-Name of unaddressed project		Unaddressed-UC	\$0	\$0	\$0	Construction	\$0	985,424	1/24/98

**Part III:** **Summary of all Apportioned Savings for Reclamation:** Complete the table. Make sure that the information entered matches the corresponding entries in Parts I and II. Note that the VECP processing costs are separated from the study costs and added to the Value Program cost on Line 4.b.

**Line 1. “Cost Savings”** enter the total of all savings and VECP savings identified in Part II.

**Line 2. “Cost Avoidance”** enter the total of all avoidances identified in Part II.

**Line 3. “Dollar Share of Savings Provided to Contractors”** enter the total of all “VECP Savings to Contractor” from Part II. Note that this number should match the entry in Part I.

**Line 4.a “Expenses Attributed to Value Studies”** enter the total of all Value Study Costs only from the column “Value Study or VECP Processing Cost” in Part II.

**Line 4.b “Cost Attributed to the Operation of the Value Program”** enter the total of all VECP processing costs from the column “Value Study or VECP Processing Cost” in Part II and all Value Program costs other than study costs. Note that the cost of the Value Program includes all costs (Labor and non-Labor ) attributed to running the program. The labor cost should include the load (e.g. benefits, etc.).

**Line 4.c “Total Expenses”** enter the total of Lines 4.a and 4.b.

**Line 5. “Return on Investment”** enter the sum of Lines 1 and 2 divided by Line 4.c.

NOTE: If you amend any of the previous years figures, please put an \* by the figure and a footnote to indicate the amount of the amendment, what study it covered, and the dated you amended the figures.

**Part IV:** **Interior Specific Reporting Portion For The Fiscal Year**

Part IV, Section A: **Administration (Training):** Under “Total Personnel” enter the Full Time Equivalent number of positions filled as of the last day of the reporting year. Under “SAVE Certified Module I or II” enter the number of staff trained in SAVE certified courses. Under “Other Value Training” enter the number of staff trained in seminars, SAVE conferences or other value method training. Note that if a staff member attends two value courses in the year, they are counted twice (once for each course).

- Part IV, Section B: **Administration (Staffing):** Complete the table based on the number of positions filled, in each category, as of the last day of the reporting year. Indicate the number of people in VE positions and their total staff cost, including the load (e.g., benefits, etc.). For Part Time staff, follow the number of people and cost by the total Full Time Equivalent (FTE) of those positions in parentheses. (Example: 1 - \$35,000 [0.5FTE]). Separately identify non-labor costs that will be included in the total program expenses in Part III, Lines 4b and 4c.
- Part IV, Section C: **Number of Projects Subject To Study:** Complete the table based on the number of contracts awarded in each category for the reporting fiscal year. Note that this number should equal the total number of the projects listed in Part II, although there may have been projects that were “Not Studied or Unaddressed.”
- Part IV, Section D: **Value of Projects Subject To Study:** Complete the table based on the value of contracts awarded in each category for the reporting fiscal year. Note that the projects listed in Part II, include all project contracts awarded in the fiscal year, although there may have been projects that were “Not Studied or Unaddressed”.
- Part IV, Section E: **Value Engineering Study Recommendations:** Complete the table **based only on the value studies which were performed for projects awarded in the fiscal year.** Note that the “Fully Accepted” and “Partially Accepted” proposals should be the same proposals used to develop the savings and avoidance costs reported in Parts I, II and III. Proposals that are “Eliminated” or “Withdrawn” are reported in the appropriate column separately from the “Rejected” proposals.
- Part IV, Section F: **Value Engineering Change Proposals:** Report all contracts that were active during the reporting fiscal year, include both projects awarded in the fiscal year and projects awarded in prior years but which were still active in the reporting fiscal year. Complete the table based on those Value Engineering Change Proposal (VECP) actions awarded on contracts that were active in the reporting year. Note that the number of “Accepted” VECPs should be based on the same VECPs used to determine the “Dollar Savings Provided to Contractors” reported in Part I, Part II, and Part III, Line 3.
- Part IV, Section G: **Specialty Questions:** Answer each of the questions **based only on the value studies which were performed on projects awarded in the reporting fiscal year.** Note that the “Operations & Maintenance” and “Grants & Loans” projects should be the same projects reported in Part I.B.
- Part IV, Section H: **Recognition:** Complete the table with the information requested. Note that the total number of awards should equal the sum of the “Special Act” and “Monetary” awards. For “Monetary” awards, report the total value of all monetary awards in parentheses following the number of awards. The total value of the “Team” and “Individual” awards must equal the value of “Monetary” awards reported in the preceding block.